



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,320	02/13/2001	Bernhard H. van Lengerich	BVL-102A	9819
7590 Douglas J. Taylor, Esq. General Mills, Inc. P.O. Box 1113 Minneapolis, MN 55440			EXAMINER ROBERTS, LEZAH	
			ART UNIT 1612	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/20/2011	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/782,320	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN LINGERICH, BERNHARD H.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LEZAH ROBERTS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LEZAH ROBERTS (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Barry I. Hollander (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Newton et al. (US 4,938,967) and Eden et al. (US 4,755,397).

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner proposed amending the claims to incorporate process conditions to distinguish the instant claims from the cited prior art. Also discussed what is encompassed by "destructured starch".

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Lezah W Roberts/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1612